



**In the National Company Law Tribunal
Division Bench, (Court-I), Kolkata**

**IA (IBC)(Plan)2/KB/2025
in
C.P. (IB)No. 59/KB/2024**

An application under Section 30(6) and Section 31 of the Insolvency & Bankruptcy Code, 2016 along with Regulation 39(4) of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Board of India (Insolvency Resolution Process for Corporate Persons) Regulations, 2016;

In the matter of:

Kanhaiya Power Private Limited

...Financial Creditor

Versus

Tirupati Properties and Investment Private Limited

...Corporate Debtor

In the matter of:

Vasudeo Agarwal, RP of Tirupati Properties and Investment Private Limited;

...Applicant /Resolution Professional

Date of pronouncement of order: 13.05.2025

CORAM:

SMT. BIDISHA BANERJEE, HON'BLE MEMBER (JUDICIAL)

CMDE SIDDHARTH MISHRA, HON'BLE MEMBER (TECHNICAL)

Counsel appeared physically / through video Conferencing

Mr. Anuj Kumar Mishra, Adv.] For Respondent Nos. 5 & 6

Mr. Pranit Bag, Adv.] For the Corporate Debtor/ R 1 to 4

Mr. Rahul Poddar, Adv.]

Mr. Debduitta Saha, Adv.]

Ms. Amani Kayan, Adv.]

Mr. Souvik Banerjee, Adv.]

Mr. Ram Ratan Modi, Adv.] For the RP

**In the National Company Law Tribunal
Division Bench, (Court-I), Kolkata**

**IA (IBC)(Plan)2/KB/2025
in
C.P. (IB)No. 59/KB/2024**



Mr. Mohit Sharma, Adv.]

Mr. Vasudeo Agarwal, RP] RP-in person

ORDER

Per Bidisha Banerjee, Member (Judicial):

1. The Court convened through hybrid mode.
2. Ld. Counsel for the parties were heard at length.
3. This application has been preferred by the Resolution Professional of Tirupati Properties and Investment Private Limited to seek approval of Resolution Plan in its entirety along with all annexures, Schedule, Appendixes including the claims contained therein as submitted by **Tulip Suppliers Private Limited**, the Successful Resolution Applicant (SRA in short) along with reliefs and concessions sought for under the Plan.
4. The CoC has approved the Resolution Plan of **Tulip Suppliers Private Limited by 100% vote and Tulip Suppliers Private Limited was declared as Successful Resolution Applicant (SRA)** in respect of the Corporate Debtor.
5. The details of CIRP of the Corporate Debtor namely Tirupati Properties and Investment Private Limited are enumerated in the table below:

Sl. No.	Particulars	Description
1.	Date of initiation of CIRP	13.08.2024
2.	Date of Appointment of IRP	13.08.2024
3.	Date of Publication of Public Announcement	17.08.2024
4.	Date of Constitution of CoC	02.09.2024
5.	Date of First Meeting of CoC	10.09.2024
6.	Date of Appointment of RP	10.09.2024
7.	Date of Appointment of Registered Valuers for: a. Land & Building b. SFA	11.09.2024 a. 11.09.2024 & 12.09.2024 b. 11.09.2024 &

In the National Company Law Tribunal

Division Bench, (Court-I), Kolkata

IA (IBC)(Plan)2/KB/2025

in

C.P. (IB)No. 59/KB/2024

Sl. No.	Particulars	Description
		12.09.2024
	c. Plant & Machinery	NIL
8.	Date of Issue of Invitation of EoI	10.10.2024
9.	Date of Invitation of Resolution Plan by SRA	15.11.2024
10.	Last Date of submission of Resolution Plan	21.12.2024
11.	Date of Approval of Resolution Plan by CoC	03.01.2025
12.	Date of filing of Resolution Plan with the Adjudicating Authority	16.01.2025
13.	Result of e-voting on Resolution Plan	100% (Physical voting)
14.	Fair Value (average)	Land & Building: 2694.62 Lacs
15.	Liquidation Value	Land & Building: 2086.87 Lacs SFA: 5.25 Lacs
16.	Number of Meetings of CoC held	6 (six)

6. Brief facts of the CIRP process are as submitted by the Resolution Professional:

- a. The Corporate Debtor was admitted into CIRP vide order dated 13.08.2024 of this Adjudicating Authority. Further, the Applicant namely **Mr. Vasudeo Agarwal** was appointed as IRP of the Corporate Debtor. Further, the Applicant was later allowed to continue as Resolution Professional ("RP") of the Corporate Debtor in the 1st Meeting of CoC held on 10.09.2024.
- b. Further, the RP has made a public announcement in the newspapers on 17.08.2024 inviting claims from the Creditors of the Corporate Debtor.
- c. Thereafter, the Resolution Professional has filed a list of creditors before this Adjudicating Authority on 05.09.2024.
- d. Ld. Counsel for the Applicant submits that the Applicant published Form-G in terms of 36 of IBBI (Insolvency and

**In the National Company Law Tribunal
Division Bench, (Court-I), Kolkata**

**IA (IBC)(Plan)2/KB/2025
in
C.P. (IB)No. 59/KB/2024**

Bankruptcy Process for Corporate Persons) Regulations 2016 on 10.10.2024. The Applicant / RP has also informed the CoC Members that the Information Memorandum (IM) is ready to share with them.

- e.** The Applicant / RP has further appointed three registered Valuers to carry out the necessary valuation in terms of CIRP Regulation 27(1) of the IBBI (CIRP) Regulations, 2016 and CIRP Regulation 35.
 - f.** Thereafter, the Resolution Professional / Applicant received only one response to Form -G from M/s. Tulip Suppliers Private Limited.
 - g.** The RP/ Applicant Prepared the Provisional List of Prospective Resolution Applicant in terms of CIRP Regulation 36A(10) on 07.11.2024 and the Applicant prepared the final list of PRAs on 18.11.2024.
 - h.** Thereafter, the RP/ Applicant has shared a copy of Information memorandum, evaluation Matrix and request for resolution plan (RFRP) on 15.11.2024 with CoC members and the last date for submission of Resolution Plan was fixed on 21.12.2024 in RFRP.
 - i.** The sole Resolution Plan was received from Tulip Suppliers Private Limited was put for voting in the 6th CoC Meeting and the same was approved with 100% voting in favour of the Resolution Plan.
 - j.** The applicant / RP issued the Letter of Intent (LoI) to M/s. Tulip Suppliers Private Limited on 06.01.2025.
7. Ld. Counsel for the Applicant further submits that as required under RFRP, the Resolution Applicant has deposited **Rs. 25,00,000/- (Rs. 5 Lakh on 24.10.2024, Rs. 10 Lakhs on 18.12.2024 and Rs. 10 Lakhs on 07.01.2025) towards Performance Security and the same are also attached with the Plan Application as Annexure -T.**

In the National Company Law Tribunal

Division Bench, (Court-I), Kolkata

IA (IBC)(Plan)2/KB/2025

in

C.P. (IB)No. 59/KB/2024

8. The Successful Resolution Applicant (SRA) namely Tulip Suppliers Private Limited under the Resolution Plan has provided for a total plan value for the Corporate Debtor of INR **2500 Lakhs plus actual CIRP Cost**. The amounts claimed, amount admitted and the amount provided under the Resolution Plan are as under:

(Amount in Lakhs)

Sl. No.	Category of Creditor	Sub-Category of Stakeholders	Amount of Claim (Amount in Lakh)	Claim Admitted (Amount in Lakh)	Amount provided in the Plan (Amount in Lakh)
1.	Secured Financial Creditor	(a) Creditors not having a right to vote under sub-section (2) of section 21	0.00	0.00	0.00
		(b) Other than (a) above:	0.00	0.00	0.00
		i. Who did not vote in favour of the resolution plan	0.00	0.00	0.00
		(ii) Who voted in favour of the resolution plan	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Sub-total (a+b)	0.00	0.00	0.00
2.	Unsecured Financial Creditor	Creditors not having a right to vote under sub-section (2) of section 21	36.41	36.41	34.37
		Other than (a) above:	2611.98	2611.98	2465.63
		(i) Who did not vote in favour of the resolution plan	0.00	0.00	0.00
		(ii) Who voted in favour of the resolution plan	2611.98	2611.98	2465.63
		Sub-total	2648.39	2648.39	2500.00
3.	Operational Creditors	(a) Related party of Corporate	0.00	0.00	0.00

In the National Company Law Tribunal

Division Bench, (Court-I), Kolkata

IA (IBC)(Plan)2/KB/2025

in

C.P. (IB)No. 59/KB/2024



Sl. No.	Category of Creditor	Sub-Category of Stakeholders	Amount of Claim (Amount in Lakh)	Claim Admitted (Amount in Lakh)	Amount provided in the Plan (Amount in Lakh)
		Debtor			
		(b) Other than (a) above	0.00	0.00	0.00
		(i) Government	0.00	0.00	0.00
		(ii) Workmen & Employees	0.00	0.00	0.00
		(iii) Others	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Sub-total	0.00	0.00	0.00
Grand Total – (1+2+3+4)			2648.39	2648.39	2500.00

9. Synopsis of mandatory Provisions / Sections / Regulations and their compliance are as under:

Sl. No.	Provisions	Requirement	Compliance established
A. For Resolution Applicant			
1)	25(2)(h)	The Resolution Applicant must meet the criteria approved by the CoC having regarding to the complexity and scale of operations of business of the CD.	Yes.
2)	Section 29A	The Resolution Applicant must be eligible to submit resolution plan.	Yes, Clause 7(a) and 7(b) at page Nos. 43 & 44 of the Resolution Plans.
3)	Section 30 (1)	The Resolution Applicant	Yes, Clause 7(b)(i) at

In the National Company Law Tribunal
Division Bench, (Court-I), Kolkata

IA (IBC)(Plan)2/KB/2025
in
C.P. (IB)No. 59/KB/2024



Sl. No.	Provisions	Requirement	Compliance established
		must submit an affidavit stating that it is eligible.	page No. 44 of the Resolution Plans.
B. For Resolution Plan			
1.	Section 30 (2)(a)	The Resolution Plan must provide for the payment of CIRP costs.	Yes, Clause 7(b)(ii) at page No. 44 of the Resolution Plans.
2.	Section 30 (2) (b)	The Resolution Plan must provide for the payment to the Operational Creditors.	Yes, Clause 7(b)(iii) at page No. 44 of the Resolution Plans.
3.	Section 30 (2)(c)	The Resolution Plan Must provide for the payment to the Financial Creditors who did not vote in favour of the Resolution Plan.	Yes, Clause 7(b)(iii) at page No. 44 and 14(i)(1) at page no. 54 of the Resolution Plans.
4.	Section 30 (2) (d)	The Resolution Plan must provide for the management of the affairs of the corporate debtor.	Yes, Clause 7(b)(iv) at page No. 45 of the Resolution Plans.
5.	Section 30 (2) (e)	The Resolution Plan must provide for the implementation and supervision of the resolution plan.	Yes, Clause 7(b)(v) at page No. 45 of the Resolution Plans.
6.	Section 30 (2) (f)	The Resolution Plan should not contravene any of the provisions of	Yes, Clause 7(b)(vi) at page No. 45 of the

In the National Company Law Tribunal
Division Bench, (Court-I), Kolkata

IA (IBC)(Plan)2/KB/2025
in
C.P. (IB)No. 59/KB/2024



Sl. No.	Provisions	Requirement	Compliance established
		the law for the time being in force.	Resolution Plans.
7.	Section 30 (4) (a)	The Resolution Plan is feasible and viable, according to the CoC.	Yes, Clause 9 (e) page No. 47 of the Resolution Plan.
8.	Section 30 (4) (b)	The Resolution Plan has been approved by the CoC with 66% voting share.	Yes, Clause 9 (e) page No. 47 of the Resolution Plan. Approved the Resolution Plan with 100% voting in favour.
9.	Section 31(1)	The Resolution Plan must provide provisions for its effective implementation plan, according to the CoC.	Yes, Clause 7(b) page No. 57 of the Resolution Plan.
10.	Regulation 38 (1)	The amount due to the operational creditors under the resolution plan has been given priority in payment over financial creditors.	Yes. Clause 9(a) page No. 47 of the Resolution Plan.
11.	Regulation 38(1A)	The resolution plan includes a statement as to how it has dealt with the	Yes, Clause 9(b) page No. 47 of the Resolution Plan.

In the National Company Law Tribunal
Division Bench, (Court-I), Kolkata

IA (IBC)(Plan)2/KB/2025
in
C.P. (IB)No. 59/KB/2024



Sl. No.	Provisions	Requirement	Compliance established
		interest of all stakeholders.	
12.	Regulation 38(1B)	<p>(i) Whether the Resolution Applicant or any of its related parties has failed to implement or contributed to the failure of implementation of any resolution plan approved under the Code.</p> <p>(ii) If, so whether the Resolution Applicant has submitted the statement giving details of such non-implementation?</p>	<p>No,</p> <p>Clause 9(c) page No. 47 of the Resolution Plan.</p> <p>NA</p>
13.	Regulation 38 (2)(a)	The Resolution Plan must provide for the term of the plan and its implementation schedule.	Yes, Clause 4, page No. 51 to 55 of the Resolution Plan.
14.	Regulation 38 (2)(b)	The Resolution Plan must provide for the management and control	Yes, Clause 6, page No. 55-56 at page 72-74 of the Resolution

In the National Company Law Tribunal
Division Bench, (Court-I), Kolkata

IA (IBC)(Plan)2/KB/2025
in
C.P. (IB)No. 59/KB/2024



Sl. No.	Provisions	Requirement	Compliance established
		of the business of the corporate debtor during its term.	Plan.
15.	Regulation 38 (2)(c)	The Resolution Plan must have adequate means of supervising its implementation provision.	Yes, Clause 17 at page 56-57 of the Resolution Plan.
16.	Regulation 38 (3)(a)	The Resolution Plan should demonstrate that it addresses the cause of default.	Yes, Clause 9 (d) page 47 of the Resolution Plan.
17.	Regulation 38 (3)(b)	The Resolution Plan should demonstrate that it is feasible and viable.	Yes, Clause 9 (e) page 47 of the Resolution Plan.
18.	Regulation 38 (3)(c)	The Resolution Plan must demonstrate that it has provisions for its effective implementation.	Yes, Clause 9 (f) page 47 of the Resolution Plan.
19.	Regulation 38 (3)(d)	The Resolution Plan must demonstrate that it has provisions for approvals required and the timeline for the same.	Yes, Clause 9 (g) page 47 of the Resolution Plan.
20.	Regulation 38 (3)(e)	The Resolution Plan must demonstrate that the resolution Applicant has	Yes, Clause 9 (h) page 47 of the Resolution Plan.

**In the National Company Law Tribunal
Division Bench, (Court-I), Kolkata**

**IA (IBC)(Plan)2/KB/2025
in
C.P. (IB)No. 59/KB/2024**



Sl. No.	Provisions	Requirement	Compliance established
		the capability to implement the Resolution Plan.	
C. For Resolution Professional			
21.	Regulation 39 (2)	The Resolution Professional should file applications in respect of transactions observed, found or determined by him.	Yes.
22.	Regulations 39 (4)	The Resolution Professional must provide details of performance security received, as referred to in sub-regulation (4A) of regulation 36B.	Yes. EMD dated 24.10.2024 for Rs. 50 Lacs Bid Bond dated 18.12.2024: Rs. 100 Lacs PBG dated 07.01.2025: Rs. 100 Lacs

10. In the course of the hearing, the Learned Counsel for the Resolution Professional would submit that the Resolution Plan complies with all the provisions of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016, read with relevant Regulations of the Insolvency and

Bankruptcy Board of India (Insolvency Resolution Process for Corporate Persons) Regulations, 2016 and does not contravene any of the provisions of law for the time being in force.

11. A bare perusal of the extracts / excerpts from the Plan establishes that the **Resolution Plan has been approved with 100% voting share**. As per the CoC, the plan meets the requirement of being viable and feasible for revival of the Corporate Debtor. By and large, all the compliances have been done by the RP and the Resolution Applicant for making the plan effective after its approval.
12. On perusal of the documents on record, supported by an affidavit of the Resolution Professional, we accord our satisfaction that the Resolution Plan as approved by the CoC, is in accordance with sections 30 and 31 of the IBC and also comply with regulations 38 and 39 of the IBBI (Insolvency Resolution Process for Corporate Persons) Regulations, 2016, as enumerated supra.
13. We have perused the reliefs, waivers and concessions as sought for in the application. It is evident that some of the reliefs, waivers and concessions sought by the Resolution Applicant come within the ambit of the I&B Code and the Companies Act 2013, while many others fall under the power and jurisdiction of different government authorities/departments. This Adjudicating Authority has the power to grant reliefs, waivers and concessions only concerning the reliefs, waivers and concessions that are directly with the I&B Code and the Companies Act (within the powers of the NCLT). The reliefs, waivers and concessions that pertain to other governmental authorities/departments may be dealt with by the respective competent authorities/forums/offices, Government or Semi-Government of the State or Central Government concerning the

**In the National Company Law Tribunal
Division Bench, (Court-I), Kolkata**

**IA (IBC)(Plan)2/KB/2025
in
C.P. (IB)No. 59/KB/2024**

respective reliefs, waivers and concession, whenever sought for. The competent authorities including the Appellate authorities may consider granting such reliefs, waivers and concessions keeping in view the spirit of the I&B Code, 2016 and the Companies Act, 2013.

14. As far as the question of granting time to comply with the statutory obligations or seeking approvals from authorities is concerned, the Resolution Applicant is directed to do so within one year from the date of this order, as prescribed under section 31(4) of the I&B Code.
15. It is almost trite and fairly well settled that the Resolution Plan must be consistent with the extant law. The Resolution Applicant shall make necessary applications to the concerned regulatory or statutory authorities for renewal of business permits and supply of essential services, if required, and all necessary forms along with filing fees etc. and such authority shall also consider the same keeping in mind the objectives of the Code, which is essentially the resolving of the insolvency of the Corporate Debtor.
16. In this context, we would rely upon the judgment in **Embassy Property Developments Pvt. Ltd. vs. State of Karnataka reported at MANU/SC/1661/2019: (2020) 13 SCC 308**, wherein, the Hon'ble Apex Court has laid down that:
- “39. If NCLT has been conferred with jurisdiction to decide all types of claims to property, of the corporate debtor, Section 18(f)(vi) would not have made the task of the interim resolution professional in taking control and custody of an asset over which the corporate debtor has ownership rights, subject to the determination of ownership by a court or other authority. In fact an asset*

owned by a third party, but which is in the possession of the corporate debtor under contractual arrangements, is specifically kept out of the definition of the term "assets" under the Explanation to Section 18. This assumes significance in view of the language used in Sections 18 and 25 in contrast to the language employed in Section 20. Section 18 speaks about the duties of the interim resolution professional and Section 25 speaks about the duties of resolution professional. These two provisions use the word "assets", while Section 20(1) uses the word "property" together with the word "value". Sections 18 and 25 do not use the expression "property". Another important aspect is that Under Section 25(2)(b) of IBC, 2016, the resolution professional is obliged to represent and act on behalf of the corporate debtor with third parties and exercise rights for the benefit of the corporate debtor in judicial, quasi-judicial and arbitration proceedings. Section 25(1) and 25(2)(b) reads as follows:

25. Duties of resolution professional -

(1) It shall be the duty of the resolution professional to preserve and protect the assets of the corporate debtor, including the continued business operations of the corporate debtor.

(2) For the purposes of Sub-section (1), the resolution professional shall undertake the following actions:

(a).....

*(b) represent and act on behalf of the corporate debtor with third parties, **exercise rights for the benefit of the corporate debtor in judicial, quasi judicial and arbitration proceedings.***

This shows that wherever the corporate debtor has to exercise rights in judicial, quasi-judicial proceedings, the resolution professional cannot short-circuit the same and bring a claim before NCLT taking advantage of Section 60(5).

40. Therefore in the light of the statutory scheme as culled out from various provisions of the IBC, 2016 it is clear that wherever the corporate debtor has to exercise a right that falls outside the purview of the IBC, 2016 especially in the realm of the public law, they cannot, through the resolution professional, take a bypass and go before NCLT for the enforcement of such a right."

(Emphasis Added)

17. The reliefs sought for subsisting contracts/agreements can be granted, and no blanket orders can be granted in the absence of the parties to the contracts and agreements.

18. Concerning the waivers with regard to the extinguishment of claims which arose prior to the initiation of the CIR Process and which have not been claimed are granted in terms of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in **Ghanashyam Mishra and Sons Private Limited vs. Edelweiss Asset Reconstruction Company Limited reported in MANU/SC/0273/2021: (2021)9SCC657: [2021]13SCR737**, wherein the Hon'ble Apex Court has held that

"once a resolution plan is duly approved by the Adjudicating Authority under sub-section (1) of section 31, the claims as provided in the resolution plan shall stand frozen and will be binding on the Corporate Debtor and its employees, members,

creditors, including the Central Govt., any State Govt. or any local authority, guarantors and other stakeholders.”


(Emphasis Added)

19. Further, the relevant part of the **Ghanshyam Mishra judgment (supra)** in this regard is reproduced below:

“61. All these details are required to be contained in the information memorandum so that the resolution applicant is aware, as to what are the liabilities, that he may have to face and provide for a plan, which apart from satisfying a part of such liabilities would also ensure, that the Corporate Debtor is revived and made a running establishment. The legislative intent of making the resolution plan binding on all the stakeholders after it gets the seal of approval from the Adjudicating Authority upon its satisfaction, that the resolution plan approved by CoC meets the requirement as referred to in Sub-section (2) of Section 30 is, that after the approval of the resolution plan, no surprise claims should be flung on the successful resolution applicant. The dominant purpose is, that he should start with fresh slate on the basis of the resolution plan approved.’

“62. This aspect has been aptly explained by this Court in the case of Committee of Creditors of Essar Steel India Limited through Authorised Signatory (supra).’

“107. For the same reason, the impugned NCLAT judgment [Standard Chartered Bank v. Satish Kumar Gupta] in holding that claims that may exist apart from those decided on merits by the resolution professional and by the Adjudicating Authority/Appellate Tribunal can now be decided by an appropriate forum in terms of Section 60(6) of the Code, also



militates against the rationale of Section 31 of the Code. A successful resolution applicant cannot suddenly be faced with "undecided" claims after the resolution plan submitted by him has been accepted as this would amount to a hydra head popping up which would throw into uncertainty amounts payable by a prospective resolution applicant who would successfully take over the business of the corporate debtor. All claims must be submitted to and decided by the resolution professional so that a prospective resolution applicant knows exactly what has to be paid in order that it may then take over and run the business of the corporate debtor. This the successful resolution applicant does on a fresh slate, as has been pointed out by us hereinabove. For these reasons, NCLAT judgment must also be set aside on this count."

20. In this regard we would also rely on the judgement of Hon'ble High Court of Rajasthan in the matter of **EMC v. State of Rajasthan, Civil Writ Petition No. 6048/2020 with 6204/2020 reported in (2023) ibclaw.in 42 HC** wherein it has been inter-alia held that

"Law is well-settled that with the finalization of insolvency resolution plan and the approval thereof by the NCLT, all dues of creditors, Corporate, Statutory and others stand extinguished and no demand can be raised for the period prior to the specified date."

21. Thus on the date of approval of resolution plan by the Adjudicating Authority, all such claims, which are not a part of resolution plan, shall stand extinguished and no person will be entitled to initiate or continue any proceedings in respect to a claim, which is not part of the resolution plan as per the law laid down by

**In the National Company Law Tribunal
Division Bench, (Court-I), Kolkata**

**IA (IBC)(Plan)2/KB/2025
in
C.P. (IB)No. 59/KB/2024**

the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Ghanashyam Mishra** supra. The Hon'ble Supreme Court also held that all the dues including the statutory dues owed to the Central Govt, any State Govt or any local authority, if not part of the resolution plan, shall stand extinguished and no proceedings in respect of such dues for the period prior to the date on which the Adjudicating Authority grants its approval under section 31 could be continued.

22. With respect to the waivers sought in relation to guarantors, we seek to place reliance on the judgment of **Lalit Kumar Jain v. Union of India reported in MANU/SC/0352/2021: (2021) 9 SCC 321: (2021) ibclaw.in 61 SC**, wherein the Hon'ble Supreme Court held in para 133 that sanction of a resolution plan and finality imparted to it by section 31 does not per se operate as a discharge of the guarantor's liability shall apply.

23. Further, we would rely upon the judgment rendered by the NCLAT in **Roshan Lal Mittal v. Rishabh Jain reported in (2023) ibclaw.in 803** NCLAT that:

“The Resolution Plan does not absolve the personal guarantors from their guarantee. The law well settled by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the matter of “Lalit Kumar Jain vs. Union of India & Ors. – (2021) 9 SCC 321), that by approval of resolution plan the guarantees are not ipso facto discharged.”

(Emphasis Added)

24. With respect to the reliefs and waivers sought for all inquiries, litigations, investigations and proceedings shall be granted strictly as per the section 32A of the Code and the provisions of the law as may be applicable.



25. In this context, we would note that upon the approval of the Resolution Plan, the Corporate Debtor avails the limbs of new management to revive its business. Thus, all the past liabilities of the Corporate Debtor including criminal liability prior to the initiation of the CIR Process shall stand effaced and the new management will step into the shoes of the company with a fresh or clean slate. Hence, the old management shall be liable to face all the offences committed prior to the commencement of the CIR Process. At this juncture, we would rely upon the judgment rendered by the Hon'ble Apex Court in **Ajay Kumar Radheyshyam Goenka vs. Tourism Finance Corporation of India Ltd. reported in MANU/SC/0244/2023: (2023) 10 SCC 545** that:

“67. Thus, Section 32A broadly leads to:

a. Extinguishment of the criminal liability of the corporate debtor, if the control of the corporate debtor goes in the hands of the new management which is different from the original old management.

b. The prosecution in relation to "every person who was a "designated partner" as defined in Clause (j) of Section 2 of the Limited Liability Partnership Act 2008 (6 of 2009), or an "officer who is in default", as defined in Clause (60) of Section 2 of the Companies Act. 2013 (18 of 2013), or was in any manner in charge of, or responsible to the corporate debtor for the conduct of its business or associated with the corporate debtor in any manner and who was directly or indirectly involved in the commission of such offence" shall be proceeded and the law will take it's own course. Only the

In the National Company Law Tribunal

Division Bench, (Court-I), Kolkata

IA (IBC)(Plan)2/KB/2025

in

C.P. (IB)No. 59/KB/2024

corporate debtor (with new management) as held in Para 42 of P. Mohanraj will be safeguarded.

c. If the old management takes over the corporate debtor (for MSME Section 29A does not apply (see 240A), hence for MSME old management can takeover) the corporate debtor itself is also not safeguarded from prosecution Under Section 138 or any other offences.”

(Emphasis added)

26. Further, would also rely on the judgment of **Hon’ble High Court of Madras** in the matter of **Vasan Healthcare Pvt. Ltd. vs. The Deputy Director of Income Tax (Investigation), Unit 3(2)** reported in **MANU/TN/0243/2024: (2024) ibclaw.in 80 HC**, wherein it was held that:

*“9. In the above judgement, the Apex Court after dealing with the provision in detail, came to a categorical conclusion that insofar as the criminal prosecution is concerned, the criminal liability of the corporate debtor viz., company gets completely wiped off and the new management is allowed to take over the company on a clean slate. However, the Apex Court also made it clear that the persons who are involved in the day today affairs of the company and were incharge and responsible for running of the company, will be liable to face all the **offence committed prior to the commencement of the Corporate Insolvency Resolution Process. There is no escape for those persons from criminal liability even though the corporate debtor is given a clean slate and is handed over to the new Management.***



10. Useful reference can also be made to the judgement of **the Calcutta High Court in [Tantia Constructions Limited Vs. Krishna Hi-Tech Infrastructure P Ltd] in CRP No. 172 of 2022**. The relevant portions in the order are extracted hereunder :-

4. For the **application of Section 32A of IBC, 2016** and in light of the present matter, it is pertinent to determine the following two issues, i.e.,

- i. **Whether the offence as complained in the impugned criminal proceedings has been alleged to be committed before the initiation of corporate insolvency resolution process or during such process?**
- ii. **Whether the resolution plan has resulted in change in the management or corporate debtor in consonance with the provisions of Section 32A(1) of IBC, 2016?**

5. With respect to Issue No. 1, it is pertinent to note that the corporate insolvency resolution process as against the Petitioner/Corporate Debtor was initiated on 13.03.2019 when the application was accepted and the Order of Moratorium under Section 14 of the IBC, 2016 was imposed by NCLT, Kolkata in the aforementioned case. The complaint that commenced the impugned criminal proceedings was filed on 22.07.2019 before the concerned court by the opposite party. Whereby, said alleged offence so complained, took place before or during the corporate insolvency resolution process and is covered under the ambit of Section 32A of IBC, 2016.

6. With respect to Issue No. 2, it is observed that the petitioner has not made specific submission in this regard.

However, it is the submission of the opposite party that the **impugned complaint case does not concern itself with the new directors that were appointed after takeover by the Resolution Applicant in line with the Resolution Plan so approved by NCLT dated 24.02.2022. It is their submission that they are primarily aggrieved by the actions of petitioner when it was in control of erstwhile Directors.**

11. The above judgement clearly lays down the law on the subject. The moment the Corporate Insolvency Resolution Process is initiated against the corporate debtor and the application is accepted by the NCLT, the moratorium comes into operation. **Once the resolution plan is accepted by the NCLT and orders are passed and the Corporate debtor gets into hands of the new management, all the past liabilities including the criminal liability of the Corporate debtor gets wiped off and the new Management takes over the company with clean slate.**

(Emphasis Added)

27. Very recently, the Hon'ble Madras High Court in **M/s. Vasan Healthcare Pvt Ltd v. M/s. India Infoline Finance Ltd, Crl O.P. No. 1772 of 2024, reported in (2024) ibclaw.in 700 HC**, (hereinafter referred to as 'Vasan Healthcare Pvt. Ltd. II') has observed that:

"13. As a result of the above discussion and the law laid in Ajay Kumar Radheshyam Goenka case, it is clear that the corporate debtor cannot be prosecuted for the prior liability after the approval of the Resolution Plan. At the same time, it is to be bear in mind the protection under Section 32-A of Insolvency & Bankruptcy Code, 2016 is restricted only to

the Corporate debtor and not to its Directors who were in-charge of the affairs of the Company when the offence committed or the signatory of the cheque.”

(Emphasis Added)

28. Further, the Hon’ble Apex Court in ***Jaypee Kensington Boulevard Apartments Welfare Association and Ors. vs. NBCC (India) Ltd. and Ors.*** reported in (2022) 1 SCC 401: MANU/SC/0206/2021 at Para 216, has laid down that:

“The Adjudicating Authority has limited jurisdiction in the matter of approval of a resolution plan, which is well-defined and circumscribed by Sections 30(2) and 31 of the Code. In the adjudicatory process concerning a resolution plan under IBC, there is no scope for interference with the commercial aspects of the decision of the CoC; and there is no scope for substituting any commercial term of the resolution plan approved by Committee of Creditors.”

(Emphasis Added)

29. Further, in ***Committee of Creditors of Essar Steel India Limited vs. Satish Kumar Gupta*** reported at (2020) 8 SCC 531: MANU/SC/1577/2019, the Hon’ble Apex Court has propounded that:

“38. This Regulation fleshes out Section 30(4) of the Code, making it clear that ultimately it is the commercial wisdom of the Committee of Creditors which operates to approve what is deemed

**In the National Company Law Tribunal
Division Bench, (Court-I), Kolkata**

**IA (IBC)(Plan)2/KB/2025
in
C.P. (IB)No. 59/KB/2024**


by a majority of such creditors to be the best resolution plan, which is finally accepted after negotiation of its terms by such Committee with prospective resolution applicants.”

(Emphasis Added)

30. Hence, we would infer that if there are any personal guarantors of the corporate debtor, the personal guarantees shall be invoked and an appropriate action against them, in accordance with law, be taken.
31. As far as the question of granting time to comply with the statutory obligations/seeking sanctions from governmental authorities is concerned, the Resolution Applicant is directed to do the same within one year as prescribed under section 31(4) of the Code.
32. In case of non-compliance of this order or withdrawal of Resolution Plan, the CoC shall have the right to forfeit the EMD amount already paid by the Resolution Applicant.
33. In the light of the enumerations and observations made in this Order supra, we hereby **APPROVE the Resolution Plan submitted by Tulip Suppliers Private Limited (Successful Resolution Applicant).**
34. The Resolution Plan shall form part of this Order and shall be read along with this order for implementation. The Resolution Plan thus approved shall be binding on the Corporate Debtor and all other stakeholders involved in terms of Section 31 of the I&B Code, so that the revival of the Corporate Debtor Company shall come into force with immediate effect without any delay.

**In the National Company Law Tribunal
Division Bench, (Court-I), Kolkata**

**IA (IBC)(Plan)2/KB/2025
in
C.P. (IB)No. 59/KB/2024**

- 
35. The Resolution Plan is binding on the Corporate Debtor and other stakeholders involved so that revival of the Debtor Company shall come into force with immediate effect.
36. The Moratorium imposed under section 14 shall cease to have effect from the date of this order.
37. The Resolution Professional shall submit the records collected during the commencement of the proceedings to the Insolvency & Bankruptcy Board of India for their record and also return to the Resolution Applicant or New Promoters.
38. Certified copy of this Order be issued on demand to the concerned parties, upon due compliance.
39. Liberty is hereby granted for moving any Application if required in connection with implementation of this Resolution Plan.
40. A copy of this Order is to be submitted in the Office of the Registrar of Companies, West Bengal.
41. It is not on record that whether the Financial Creditors have invoked Personal Guarantees or not. It is essential for the purpose of maximization for wealth of the Corporate Debtor, personal guarantees need to be invoked. Therefore, we direct the Financial Creditors to invoke Personal Guarantees, if not already done.
42. The Resolution Professional may stand discharged from his duties with effect from the date of this Order, however, he is required to comply with our direction mentioned in Para 30 of the order subject to comply the direction, which the creditors should bear in mind.

**In the National Company Law Tribunal
Division Bench, (Court-I), Kolkata**

**IA (IBC)(Plan)2/KB/2025
in
C.P. (IB)No. 59/KB/2024**



43. The Resolution Professional shall stand discharged from his duties with effect from the date of this Order.
44. The Resolution Professional is further directed to handover all records, premises/factories/documents to the Resolution Applicant to finalise the further line of action required for starting of the operation. The Resolution Applicant shall have access to all the records/premises/factories/documents through the Resolution Professional to finalise the further line of action required for starting of the operation.
45. The Registry is directed to send e-mail copies of the order forthwith to all the parties and their Ld. Counsel for information and for taking necessary steps.
46. The Interlocutory Application being **IA (IBC)/(Plan)/2(KB)2025 shall stand disposed of** accordingly.
- 47.** One PUFÉ application is pending in this matter and the same is posted for hearing on 27.06.2025. Therefore, the CP(IB)No. 59/KB/2024 shall also be posted **on 27.06.2025.**
48. Certified copy of this order may be issued, if applied for, upon compliance of all requisite formalities.

**Siddharth Mishra
Member (Technical)**

**Bidisha Banerjee,
Member (Judicial)**

Signed on this, the 13th day of May, 2025

In the National Company Law Tribunal

Division Bench, (Court-I), Kolkata

IA (IBC)(Plan)2/KB/2025

in

C.P. (IB)No. 59/KB/2024



M. Jana (P.S.)