IN THE NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL ALLAHABAD BENCH

Company Petition (IB)No: 408/ALD/2019

(Under Section 9 of Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016 read with Rule 6 of I & B of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy (Application to Adjudicating Authority) Rule, 2016)

IN THE MATTER OF

M/S SHREE PADMAVATI STEEL TRADERS

..... Applicant/Operational Creditor

VERSUS

UTTARAYAN STEEL PRIVATE LIMITED

...... Respondent/Corporate Debtor

ORDER DELIVERED ON: 25.08.2021

CORAM:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice (Retd.) Rajesh Dayal Khare, Member, Judicial

For the Applicant/ Operational Creditor: Mr. Aishwarya Pratap Singh, Advocate For the Respondent/ Corporate Debtor:

Per se: Mr. Justice (Retd.) Rajesh Dayal Khare, Member (Judicial)

Order

1. The present petition is filed under Section 9 of Insolvency and Bankruptcy Cove, 2016 read with Rule 6 of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy (Application to Adjudicating Authority) Rule, 2016 by the Applicant/ operational creditor, i.e. "Shree Padmavati Steels Traders" for initiation of Corporate Insolvency Resolution Process against the Respondent/ Corporate Debtor Company "Uttarayan Steel Private Limited".

2. As per averments made in the petition, the Corporate Debtor had approached the operational creditor to buy goods i.e sponge iron from the operational creditor which was supplied by the operational creditor on the terms and conditions that the payment to be made within a period of 30 days from the delivery of the goods and any delay beyond the period of 30 days will attract an interest of 18% per annum.

- 3. It is submitted that the operational creditor has raised various invoices for total amount of Rs. 1,13,38,392 for which the corporate debtor on 14.07.2016 made a payment of Rs. 10,00,000/- and again on 21.09.2016 and on 06.10.2016 further payment of Rs. 4,00,000 were made respectively towards the goods supplied by the operational creditor. And on 07.10.2016, the corporate debtor has further made a part payment of Rs. 10,00,000 totalling to Rs. 28,00,000.
- 4. Further the corporate debtor has failed to make the payment of the outstanding amount of Rs, 1,04,38,392/- to the operational creditor inspite of reminders from the petitioner. It is further contended that the operational creditor has provided goods as per the requirements of the corporate debtor and as per the agreed terms and conditions and in the said period, the corporate debtor has also not raised any objection regarding quality or the quantity of the goods delivered by the operational creditor.

Further the opeartional creditor issued demand notice Under Section 8 of the IC,2016 dated 19.09.2019 demanding a total sum of Rs. 1,04,38,392/-along with interst; which was duly recevied by the respondent (*The Copy of demand notice along with the delivery report is annexed as Annexure A I of the application*) and despite demand notice being delivered to the Corporate debtor, neither any reply nor any payment of the due amount has been made till the date of application. The respondent has therefore filed this petition as an operational creditor praying for initiation of Corporate Insolvency Resolution Process of the Corporate Debtor for its inability to liquidate the claim.

6. The corporate Debtor has been duly served by speed post and the tracking report in on record. Pursuant to the court order, notice issued to the Corporate Debtor has also been delivered and tracking report is also on record which shows that the respondent has been served with the notice. Further paper publication was also made, which shows that notice is sufficient upon the respondent. However no one appeared on behalf of the respondent.

- 7. It is a matter of record that although various opportunities were granted but the Corporate Debtor has chosen not to contest the case and hence vide order dated 14.07.2021, the respondents were given last opportunity to put in appearance and file their reply ,but on the date of hearing also the respondent opted not to appear.
- I have heard the arguments raised by the Ld. Counsel for the Operational Creditor
 and perused the application and the documents annexed there in.
- 9. Now, before considering the submissions raised on behalf of the petitioner, I would like to refer Section 9 (5) of the IB Code and the same is quoted below:

Sec 9: Application for initiation of corporate insolvency resolution process by operation creditor"

(5) The Adjudicating Authority shall, within fourteen days of the receipt of the application under sub-section (2), by an order

(i) admit the application and communicate such decision to the operational ditor and the corporate debtor if, --

(2) is complete;

(b) there is no 3 [payment] of the unpaid operational debt;

- (c) the invoice or notice for payment to the corporate debtor has been delivered by the operational creditor;
- (d) no notice of dispute has been received by the operational creditor or there is no record of dispute in the information utility; and
- (e) there is no disciplinary proceeding pending against any resolution professional proposed under sub-section (4), if any;
- (ii) reject the application and communicate such decision to the operational creditor and the corporate debtor, if--
- (a) the application made under sub-section (2) is incomplete;
- (b) there has been ³[payment] of the unpaid operational debt;

- (c) the creditor has not delivered the invoice or notice for payment to the corporate debtor;
- (d) notice of dispute has been received by the operational creditor or there is a record of dispute in the information utility; or
- (e) any disciplinary proceeding is pending against any proposed resolution professional:

Provided that Adjudicating Authority, shall before rejecting an application under sub-clause (a) of clause (ii) give a notice to the applicant to rectify the defect in his application within seven days of the date of receipt of such notice from the Adjudicating Authority.

- 10. Mere plain reading of the provision shows that when demand notice Under Section 8 of the IB Code is delivered for initiation of proceeding Under Section 9 of the IB Code and if no dispute has been raised by the Corporate Debtor in pursuance of the demand notice issued by the Operational Creditor then requirement is to be considered before triggering the insolvency process.
- application and this adjudicating Authority is of the considered view that the total application and this adjudicating Authority is of the considered view that the total application and this adjudicating Authority is of the considered view that the total application and this adjudicating Authority is of the considered view that the total application and this adjudicating Authority is of the considered view that the total application and this adjudicating Authority is of the considered view that the total application and this adjudicating Authority is of the considered view that the total application and this adjudicating Authority is of the considered view that the total application and this adjudicating Authority is of the considered view that the total application and this adjudicating Authority is of the considered view that the total application are application and this adjudicating Authority is of the considered view that the total application are application and this adjudication and this adjudication and the total application and the t
 - 12. Further, it is matter of record that applicant filed a copy of invoices for the period from 12.04.2016 till 28.08.2016 along with the present petition and the last payment was made on behalf of corporate debtor was on 07.10.2016 and the present petition is filed under section 9 of IB code on 03.10.2019. A perusal of the same goes to show that the last payment made amounts to acknowledgement of debt and thus are found well within the limitation (As per section 238 A of IB Code) to triggered the CIRP in respect of corporate debtor.

- 13. Therefore, the Petitioner succeeded in proving its debt and the Corporate Debtor failed to discharge its payment liability towards supply of goods to corporate debtor as per its purchase order. The Corporate Debtor has not paid the outstanding debt owed to operational creditor despite demand notice delivered upon him under Sec 8 of the Code and has neither adhere to the settlement arrived between the parties. Therefore, the amount and default on the side of the Operational Creditor stand proved in the present case. Therefore, petitioner is found entitled to initiate corporate insolvency resolution process as against the Corporate Debtor.
- 14. Thus the Petitioner, in the present IB petition, has complied with Section 9 (3) (b) and 9(3) (c) by filing supporting affidavit. As the petitioner fulfils the requirement for invoking CIRP in terms of Section 9 of the Code, the present application is found complete and the default of debts is established. Hence, the present petition deserves admission.

Accordingly, this petition is admitted. A moratorium in terms of Section 14 of the Insolvency & Bankruptcy Code, 2016 shall come into effect forthwith stating:

- (1) Subject to provisions of sub-sections (2) and (3), on the insolvency commencement date, the Adjudicating Authority shall by order declare moratorium for prohibiting all of the following, namely:
- (a) the institution of suits or continuation of pending suits or proceedings against the corporate debtor including execution of any judgement, decree or order in any court of law, tribunal, arbitration panel or other authority;
- (b)transferring, encumbering, alienating or disposing off by the corporate debtor any of its assets or any legal right or beneficial interest therein.

(c) any action to foreclose, recover or enforce any security interest created by the corporate debtor in respect of its property including any action under the Securitisation and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002 (54 of 2002);

(d)the recovery of any property by an owner or lessor where such property is occupied by or in the possession of the corporate debtor.

Explanation.-For the purposes of this sub-section, it is hereby clarified that notwithstanding anything contained in any other law for the time being in force, a licence, permit, registration, quota, concession, clearance or a similar grant or right given by the Central Government, State Government, local authority, sectoral regulator or any other authority constituted under any other law for the time being in force, shall not be suspended or terminated on the grounds of insolvency, subject to the condition that there is no default in payment of current dues arising for the use or continuation of the license or a similar grant or right

during moratorium period.]

2) The supply of essential goods or services to the corporate debtor as may be specified shall not be terminated or suspended or interrupted during moratorium period.

(2A) Where the interim resolution professional or resolution professional, as the case may be, considers the supply of goods or services critical to protect and preserve the value of the corporate debtor and manage the operations of such corporate debtor as a going concern, then the supply of such goods or services shall not be terminated, suspended or interrupted during the period of moratorium, except where such corporate debtor has not paid dues arising from such supply during the moratorium period or in such circumstances as may be specified.]

(3) The provisions of sub-section (1) shall not apply to -



(a) such transactions, agreements or other arrangement as may be notified by the Central Government in consultation with any financial sector regulator or any

other authority;

(b) a surety in a contract of guarantee to a corporate debtor.

(4) The order of moratorium shall have effect from the date of such order till the

completion of the corporate insolvency resolution process:

Provided that where at any time during the corporate insolvency resolution

process period, if the Adjudicating Authority approves the resolution plan under

sub-section (1) of section 31 or passes an order for liquidation of corporate debtor

under section 33, the moratorium shall cease to have effect from the date of such

approval or liquidation order, as the case may be.

16. Since in the present matter the applicant did not propose the name of the IRP.

Hence, this Adjudicating Authority hereby appoint Mr. Saurabh Agarwal

IBBI/IPA-001/IP-P02352/2021-22/13563 as Interim resolution Professional.

17. The registry is directed to communicate this order to Operational Creditor, as well

as to Corporate Debtor and to IRP.

18. Urgent Photostat certified copies of this order, if applied for, be supplied to parties

upon compliance of requisite formalities.

19. List on 28.09.2021 for filing of the Progress Report before this Bench.

JUSTICE RAJESH DAYAL KHARE MEMBER (J)

OF THE ORIGINAL

P. P. PANDEY
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR
NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL
ALLAHASAD-U-P

FREE OF COST

Date: 25.08.2021

Swati Gupta (LRA)

Con pared by He Mahesh Sahai

25/08/2021