

Memorial replaces battle in fading holiday haven

Tourist Footfall Plunges, 4.3L Visitors Recorded In 12 Months

Naseer Gani & Ajay Surin

Pahalgam: A year after the terror attack that shook Kashmir's scenic hill town, tourist arrivals to Pahalgam, have fallen sharply, dimming the vibrancy of what was once among the region's busiest holiday destinations.

Before the attack, the town routinely hosted between 3,000 and 5,000 visitors a day. Today, the numbers tell a different story. Official figures, procured by TOI from the local tourist centre, revealed that from May 2025 to April 15, 2026, Pahalgam recorded 4,30,456 domestic tourists, a steep drop compared to earlier years, when annual footfall ranged between 12 and 15 lakh, with monthly averages hovering around 1.1 lakh visitors.

The decline is particularly striking when contrasted with April 2025, the month of the attack. By April 22, when the attack happened, around 1.7 lakh tourists had already visited the town in 22 days.

The drop of tourists in Pahalgam could also be



Victims pause in silence and homage at the Pahalgam memorial, built near once-buzzing Saffie Point, in honour of 26 victims of the attack

gauged from comparison of data of tourist footfall in the picturesque town from Jan to April 2025, when the total number of visitors for these four months reached 483,896. In contrast, data from Jan to April 2026 shows a sharp decline across all months with just 254,890 tourists, which is almost 45% lower than the same period in 2025. The cumulative drop of over 200,000 tourists suggests a significant negative trend.

Yet, amid the downturn, a new and sombre landmark has emerged. A martyrdom memorial, set up by J&K govt near Pahalgam's popular "Selfie Point" along Lidder river, has become a focal spot for visitors. The memorial bears the names of all the 26 tourists who were killed in the attack.

For many, the visit is less about leisure and more about remembrance. Along the riverbanks, tourists

gather as they always do, some standing on stones to take pictures, some sitting in small groups to chat, and others dipping their feet into the cold water. However, as they approach the memorial, their expression shows what has changed in a year. Their mood shifts. Some stand in silence, reading each name written on the memorial. Others raise their phones to capture the sad moment.

The list of 26 begins with Arif Shrikant Mone and ends with Yashik Bhat Sudhirbhai Panwar. At number 22, is Syed Adil Hussain Shah, a local ponywallah killed while trying to save tourists during the attack.

Across the road at the tourist reception centre, officials from the tourism department and visitors have started returning to Pahalgam. They said in the four months after last year's attack, the resort saw almost no tourists. "Hotellers had shut their hotels. But with sustained efforts of govt, things are beginning to improve," said an official.

Hirabai trustees seek to amend eligibility clauses: Tata Trusts

New Delhi: Tata Trusts on Sunday said trustees of the Bai Hirabai Trust have decided to adopt proceedings before an appropriate authority for alteration of restrictive clauses, such as prohibition of non-Zoroastrians from being trustees, in the eligibility criteria of being a trustee in it.

The development comes days after Mehli Mistry, a former trustee of the Tata Trusts, challenged the appointments of veteran in nationalist Venu Srinivasan and former defence secretary Vijay Singh to the board of Bai Hirabai Trust. Tata Navari Charitable Institution (Bai Hirabai Trust) is a trust set up by the Maharashtra Charitable Commission.

Mistry had claimed Srinivasan and Singh do not satisfy the criteria laid out in the trust deed, including conditions on the practice of Parsi Zoroastrian faith and residence requisite in Mumbai.

Earlier this month, Srinivasan, a trustee of Tata Trusts, resigned from the Bai Hirabai Trust citing other business commitments but later admitted to stepping down at the request of Tata Trusts management.

Tata Trusts said the board of trustees of the Bai Hirabai Trust met on April 17 under the chairmanship of Noel N. Tata, Chairman, Tata Trusts "in order to correct anomalies in the trust deed and to align it with the values that the Tata Trusts have always epitomised, the trustees have decided to adopt proceedings before the appropriate authority for alteration of restrictive clauses in respect of eligibility of trustees," the statement said. The trustees emphasised that the Tata ethos have always been inclusive, secular and all-embracing and focussed on philanthropy and service to the nation, it added.

19 yrs on, HC clears dead man of power theft, heirs to get refund

Partha, Behera
@timesofindia.com

Raipur: In a significant ruling, the Chhattisgarh high court has set aside a nearly two-decade-old conviction for power theft by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered on April 17 by Justice Rajni Dubey, came on an appeal filed by Jyoti Ram Sahu, who had challenged his 2007 conviction by the trial court, which had imposed a fine of Rs 1.44 lakh, with a default sentence of six months' simple imprisonment.

he refunded to his legal heirs.

The judgment, delivered

**Notice for Sale of Assets of
PRATISHTHA DAIRY FARMS PRIVATE LIMITED (IN LIQUIDATION)
Regd. Office.: Nand Colony, Peetal Nagari Moradabad UP-244001 INDIA**

(Sale under Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016)

The undersigned Liquidator of Pratishtha Dairy Farms Private Limited (In Liquidation) ('Corporate Debtor'), appointed by Hon'ble NCLT, Allahabad, vide order dated 15.09.2025 intends to sell the following immovable properties on "as is where is", "as is what is", "whatever there is basis" and "without recourse basis" sale will be done by the undersigned through online e-auction service provider PSB Alliance (Baank Net) at <https://baanknet.com/eauction-psb/eproc-listing>

Date and Time of E-auction	11/05/2026 at 10.00 AM to 01.00 PM
Last Date for Submission of EOI including KYC documents, Eligibility Criteria Documents, etc by the prospective bidder.	Upto 04/05/2026, by 06:00 PM
Date of Declaration of Highest Bidder	12/05/2026
Due diligence of assets under auction by Prospective Bidder(s)	Upto Saturday, 02/05/2026 (with one day prior notice to the liquidator)
Last Date for submission of EMD	09/05/2026

Lot No.	Description (Assets) (Bids are invited for each of Lot separately)	Reserve Price (Rs In Lakhs)	Initial EMD (of Reserve Price) (Rs In Lakh)	Incremental Value
1.	Land situated at Khasra.No-750 Mi, Area 0.9060/- 1/6 0.151/- Hect. Khasra.No-753 Mi Area 1.1160/- 1/6 0.186/- Hect. Total area 0.337/- Hect Khasra.No-755 Area 0.0890/- Hect. Khasra.No-757 Area 0.0930/- Hect. Total area 0.1820/- 1/3 0.060/- Hect. Khasra.No-754 Area 0.4450/- Hect. Total area 0.5056/- Hect. Khasra.No-753 Mi Area 1.1160/- 1/6 0.186/- Hect. Khasra.No-750 Area 0.9060/- 1/6 0.151/- Hect. Khasra.No-750 Area 0.9060/- 1/2 0.453/- Hect. Total area 0.790/- Hect. Note: The Building consisting main dairy plant which is currently vacant is not in control of liquidator as other party claiming his share on that piece of land where building is built up i.e on 2.5 bighas only. The liquidator has filed IA for taking possession of the same with NCLT. Once NCLT order, the same will be handed over to the liquidator or bidder. Auction id-	Rs.5,35,00,000 /- (Rupees Five Crore Thirty Five Lacs Only)	Rs. 53,50,000/- (Rupees fifty Three Lacs Fifty Thousand Only)	Rs. 5,35,000 INR (Five Lacs Thirty Five Thousand Only)

	url https://baanknet.com/eauction-psb/x-login			
--	--	--	--	--

Note:

1. Bidders may refer to detailed terms and conditions and tender documents (Process Memorandum) through websites: <https://baanknet.com/eauction-psb/eproc-listing> and also visit www.ibbi.gov.in for sale auction notice. They can contact through Email ID: ashishkrishancaip@gmail.com or liquidator.pratishtha@gmail.com Contact No.:09897388700 (Ashish Sharma) .
2. The Liquidator has the absolute right to accept or reject any or all offer(s) or adjourn/ postpone/ cancel/ modify/ terminate the e-Auction or withdraw any assets thereof from the auction proceeding at any stage without assigning any reason thereof. Any revision in the sale notice will be uploaded on the website on <https://baanknet.com/eauction-psb/eproc-listing> and www.ibbi.gov.in it is requested to all bidders to kindly visit the website regularly.
3. The assets are being offered for sale on an Individual basis. There is no offer for sale of the corporate debtor as going concern sale.
4. If the auction is successfull. After the completion of auction, the EMD amount, received for such bidder whose auction got cancelled shall be returned within 30 days.
5. The liquidator shall keep in mind the value maximization for declaration of a bid as unsuccessful, if the bid for individual lot is fetching more value. Liquidator has such liberty and aim of value maximization shall not be challenged.
6. As per Schedule-1 (5A) The prospective bidders shall submit an undertaking that they do not suffer from any ineligibility under section 29A of the Code to the extent applicable and if found ineligible at any stage, the earnest money deposited shall be forfeited.

Date and Place: 16TH April 2026, Moradabad

(Ashish Sharma)
Liquidator of Pratishtha Dairy Farms Private Limited
IP Registration no. IBBI/IPA-001/IP-P02825/2023-24/14375
Email: ashishkrishancaip@gmail.com
Liquidator.pratishtha@gmail.com